



Simply Typed Lambda Calculus, Progress and Preservation


1



Announcements

- HW5
 - Questions?
- Grading HW4
- Check your grades so far

2




Outline

- Applied lambda calculus
- Introduction to types and type systems
- Simply typed lambda calculus (System F_1)
 - Syntax
 - Static semantics
 - Dynamic semantics (next time)
 - Type safety (next time)

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova 3

3



Reading

- “Types and Programming Languages” by Benjamin Pierce, Chapters 8 and 9
- Lecture notes based on Pierce and notes by Dan Grossman, UW

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova 4

4

Applied Lambda Calculus (from Sethi)

■ $E ::= c \mid x \mid (\lambda x.E_1) \mid (E_1 E_2)$

Augments the pure lambda calculus with **constants**.

An applied lambda calculus defines its set of constants and reduction rules. For example:

Constants:

if, true, false

(all these are λ terms,

e.g., $\text{true} = \lambda x.\lambda y.x$)

0, iszero, pred, succ

Reduction rules:

if true $M N \rightarrow_{\delta} M$

if false $M N \rightarrow_{\delta} N$

iszero 0 $\rightarrow_{\delta} \text{true}$

iszero (succ^k 0) $\rightarrow_{\delta} \text{false}$, $k > 0$

iszero (pred^k 0) $\rightarrow_{\delta} \text{false}$, $k > 0$

succ (pred M) $\rightarrow_{\delta} M$

pred (succ M) $\rightarrow_{\delta} M$

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova

5

5

From an Applied Lambda Calculus to a Functional Language

Construct	Applied λ -Calculus	A Language (ML)
Variable	x	x
Constant	c	c
Application	$M N$	$M N$ <i>$\lambda x \rightarrow \mu$</i>
Abstraction	$\lambda x.M$	fun $x \Rightarrow M$
Integer	succ^k 0 , $k > 0$ pred^k 0 , $k > 0$	k $-k$
Conditional	if $P M N$	if P then M else N
Let	$(\lambda x.M) N$	let val $x = N$ in M end <i>let $x = N$ in μ</i>

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova

6

6

The Fixed-Point Operator

- One more constant, and one more rule:

fix

fix $M \rightarrow_{\delta} M$ (**fix** M)

$M(M(M\dots))$

$\rightarrow_{\delta} \mu(\mu(\text{fix } \mu)) \rightarrow_{\delta}$
- Needed to define recursive functions:

plus $x y =$ $\begin{cases} y & \text{if } x = 0 \\ \text{plus } (\text{pred } x) (\text{succ } y) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

x-1

y+1
- Therefore:

plus = $\lambda x. \lambda y. \text{if } (\text{iszero } x) y (\text{plus } (\text{pred } x) (\text{succ } y))$

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova
7

7

The Fixed-Point Operator

- But how do we define **plus**?

Define **plus** = **fix** M , where

M = $\lambda f. \lambda x. \lambda y. \text{if } (\text{iszero } x) y (f (\text{pred } x) (\text{succ } y))$

Then show that

fix $M =_{\delta\beta}$

$\lambda x. \lambda y. \text{if } (\text{iszero } x) y ((\text{fix } M) (\text{pred } x) (\text{succ } y))$


$\text{fix } \mu \rightarrow \mu(\text{fix } \mu)$

$(\lambda f. \lambda x. \lambda y. \text{if } (\text{iszero } x) y (f (\text{pred } x) (\text{succ } y))) (\text{fix } \mu) \rightarrow_{\beta}$

$\lambda x. \lambda y. \text{if } (\text{iszero } x) y ((\text{fix } \mu) (\text{pred } x) (\text{succ } y))$

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova
8

8



The Fixed-Point Operator


Define **times** =

```
fix ( $\lambda f. \lambda x. \lambda y. \text{if } (\text{iszero } x) \text{ 0 (plus } y \text{ (f (pred } x) y))$ )
```

Exercise: define **factorial** = ?

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova 9

9



The Y Combinator


- **fix** is, of course, a lambda expression!
- One possibility, the famous Y-combinator:
 $Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))$
- Show that **Y M** indeed reduces into **M (Y M)**:

Handwritten derivation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Y M \rightarrow? \mu(Y M) \\
 & (\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))) M \rightarrow \beta \\
 & \quad (\lambda x. M (x x)) (\lambda x. M (x x)) \rightarrow \\
 & \quad \underbrace{M ((\lambda x. M (x x)) (\lambda x. M (x x)))}_{\mu(Y M)} \equiv \underline{\underline{\mu(Y M)}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Program Analysis CSCI 4430/6450, A Milanova 10

10




Types!

- Constants add power
- But they raise problems because they permit “bad” terms such as
 - **if** $(\lambda x.x) y z$ (arbitrary function values are not permitted as predicates, only true/false values)
 - **(0 x)** (0 does not apply as a function)
 - **succ true** (undefined in our language)
 - **plus true 0** etc.

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova 11

11




Types!

- Why types?
 - Safety. Catch semantic errors early *True + 5*
 - Data abstraction. Simple types and ADTs
 - Documentation (statically-typed languages only)
 - Type signature is a form of specification!
- Statically typed vs. dynamically typed languages
- Type annotations vs. type inference
- Type safe vs. type unsafe

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova 12

12




Types!

- Important subarea of programming languages and program analysis
- Related to abstract interpretation, although...
 - AI is framework of choice for reasoning about **imperative languages**
 - Type systems is framework of choice for reasoning about **functional languages**

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova 13

13



Type System

- Syntax *PL syntax*
- Dynamic semantics (aka concrete semantics!). In type theory, it is
 - A sequence of reductions $E \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow \dots$
- ④ Static semantics (aka abstract semantics!). In type theory, it is defined in terms of
 - Type environment
 - Typing rules, also called **type judgments**
 - This is typically referred to as the **type system**

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova 14

14

Example, The Static Semantics. More On This Later!



$$\frac{x:\tau \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau}$$

looks up the type of x in environment Γ

$\Gamma = [x:\tau_1, y:\tau_2, z:\tau_3]$ (Variable)
 $\Gamma = [x:\text{nat}, y:\text{nat} \rightarrow \text{nat}]$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash (E_1 E_2) : \tau}$$

(Application)

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:\sigma \vdash E_1 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x:\sigma. E_1) : \sigma \rightarrow \tau}$$

binding: augments environment Γ with binding of x to type σ

(Abstraction)

$\llbracket \rrbracket \vdash \lambda x:\text{nat}. \lambda y:\text{bool}. x$

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova

15

15

Type System




- A type system either accepts a term (i.e., term is **well-typed**), or rejects it
- **Type soundness**, also called **type safety**
 - Well-typed terms never “go wrong” $E \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \underline{E_2}$
 - More concretely: well-typed terms never reach a **stuck state** (a “bad” term) during evaluation
 - A programming language defines its own set of stuck states

True + 5

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova

16

16




Stuck States

- A term is “stuck” if it cannot be further reduced, and it is not a value
 - E.g., $0\ x$
- In real programming languages **stuck states** correspond to **forbidden errors** which is execution of operation on illegal arguments
- We will define **stuck states** formally for the simply typed lambda calculus, in just awhile

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova 17

17



Stuck States Examples

- E.g., $c\ (\lambda x.x)$, where c is an **int** constant, is a stuck state, i.e., a meaningless state
- E.g., **if** $c\ E_1\ E_2$ where c is an **int** constant, is a stuck state
 - Clearly not a value and clearly no rule applies!
 - Because the evaluation rules for **if-then-else** are
 - if true** $E_1\ E_2 \rightarrow_{\delta} E_1$
 - if false** $E_1\ E_2 \rightarrow_{\delta} E_2$

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova 18

18

Type Soundness

- Remember, a type system either accepts a term **M** or rejects **M**
- A **sound type system** never accepts a term that can get stuck
- A **complete type system** never rejects a term that cannot get stuck
- Typically, whether a term gets stuck is undecidable
 - Type systems choose **type soundness**

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova 19

19

Type Soundness


Sound:

Neither sound nor complete:

Complete:

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova 20

20




Safety = Progress + Preservation

- **Progress:** A well-typed term is not stuck (i.e., either it is a value, or there is an evaluation step that applies)
- **Preservation:** If a well-typed term takes a step of evaluation, then the resulting term is well-typed
- **Soundness follows:**
 - Each state reached by program is well-typed (by Preservation)
 - A well-typed state is not stuck (by Progress)
 - Thus, each state reached by the program is not stuck

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova 21

21



Putting It All Together, Formally

- Simply typed lambda calculus (**System F_1**)
 - Syntax of the simply typed lambda calculus
 - The type system: type expressions, environment, and type judgments
 - The dynamic semantics
 - Stuck states
 - Progress and preservation theorem

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova 22

22

Type Expressions

- Syntax of simply typed lambda calculus:
 - $E ::= x \mid (\lambda x: \tau. E_1) \mid (E_1 E_2) \mid c$
- Introducing type expressions
 - $\tau ::= b \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau$
 - A type is a basic type **b** (we will only consider **int**, for simplicity), or a function type
- Examples

$int, int \rightarrow int, int \rightarrow (int \rightarrow int)$
 $(int \rightarrow int) \rightarrow int$

int
int \rightarrow (**int** \rightarrow **int**) // \rightarrow is right-associative, thus can write just **int** \rightarrow **int** \rightarrow **int**

23

23

Type Environment and Type Judgments

- A term in the simply typed lambda calculus is
 - Type correct i.e., well-typed, or
 - Type incorrect $\Gamma = [x:\tau_1, y:\tau_2, z:\tau_3]$
- The rules that judge type correctness are given in the form of **type judgments** in an **environment**
 - Environment $\Gamma \vdash E : \tau$ (\vdash is the turnstile)
 - Read: environment Γ entails that **E** has type τ
- Type judgment

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash (E_1 E_2) : \tau}$$

→ Premises
→ Conclusion

24

24

Semantics

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash c : \tau}$$

looks up the type of x in environment Γ

$$\frac{x:\tau \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau}$$

...

$$\frac{[\] \vdash (\lambda x:\tau.t. x) : \tau \rightarrow \tau \quad [\] \vdash 3 : \tau}{[\] \vdash ((\lambda x:\tau.t. x) 3) : \tau = \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash (E_1 E_2) : \tau}$$

(Application)

binding: augments environment Γ with binding of x to type σ

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:\sigma \vdash E_1 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x:\sigma. E_1) : \sigma \rightarrow \tau}$$

$x:\tau \in [\] \rightarrow \tau = \tau$

$[\] \vdash x : \tau$ (Abstraction)

$[\] \vdash (\lambda x:\tau. x) : \tau \rightarrow \tau$

$\text{Nil} = \tau \rightarrow \tau$

25


Examples

- Deduce the type for $\lambda x:\text{int}.\lambda y:\text{bool}. x$ in the **nil** environment

$$\frac{x:\tau \in [y:\text{bool}, x:\tau] \vdash x : \tau}{[y:\text{bool}, x:\tau] \vdash x : \tau' = \tau}$$

$$\frac{[x:\tau] \vdash \lambda y:\text{bool}. x : \tau = \text{bool} \rightarrow \tau' = \text{bool} \rightarrow \tau}{[\] \vdash \lambda x:\tau.\lambda y:\text{bool}. x : \tau \rightarrow \tau = \tau \rightarrow \text{bool} \rightarrow \tau}$$

26




Examples

- Deduce the type for $\lambda x: \text{int}. \lambda y: \text{bool}. x$ in the **nil** environment

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova 27

27



Extensions (of Language and Static Semantics)

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash c : \text{int}}$$


$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1 : \text{int} \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : \text{int}}{\Gamma \vdash E_1 + E_2 : \text{int}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1 : \text{int} \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : \text{int}}{\Gamma \vdash E_1 = E_2 : \text{bool}} \quad \text{(Comparison)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash b : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash E_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } b \text{ then } E_1 \text{ else } E_2 : \tau}$$

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova 28

28




Examples

- Is this a valid type?
 $\text{Nil} \vdash \lambda x: \text{int}. \lambda y: \text{bool}. x+y : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{int}$
- Is this a valid type?
 $\text{Nil} \vdash \lambda x: \text{bool}. \lambda y: \text{int}. \text{if } x \text{ then } y \text{ else } y+1 : \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova (Examples from MIT 2015 Program Analysis OCW) 29

29



Examples

- Can we deduce the type of this term?
 $\lambda f. \lambda x. \text{if } x=1 \text{ then } x \text{ else } (f (f (x-1))) : ?$


$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1 : \text{int} \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : \text{int}}{\Gamma \vdash E_1 = E_2 : \text{bool}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E_1 : \text{int} \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : \text{int}}{\Gamma \vdash E_1 + E_2 : \text{int}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash b : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash E_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash E_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } b \text{ then } E_1 \text{ else } E_2 : \tau}$$

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova (example from MIT 2015 Program Analysis OCW) 30

30




Examples

- How about this $(\lambda x. x (\lambda y. y) (x \mathbf{1})) (\lambda z. z) : ?$
- x cannot have two “different” types
 - $(x \mathbf{1})$ demands $\mathbf{int} \rightarrow ?$
 - $(x (\lambda y. y))$ demands $(\tau \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow ?$
- Program does not reach a “stuck state” but is nevertheless rejected. A sound type system typically rejects some correct programs

31

31



Putting It All Together, Formally

- Simply typed lambda calculus (**System F_1**)
 - Syntax of the simply typed lambda calculus
 - The type system: type expressions, environment, and type judgments
 - **The dynamic semantics**
 - **Stuck states**
 - **Progress and preservation theorem**

Program Analysis CSCI 4450/6450, A Milanova

32

32