WEEKLY PARTICIPATION 6: VERIFYING THE SGD ASSUMPTIONS

Recall that in our proof of the convergence of SGD, we assumed that our stochastic gradient at iteration t, g_t , has the following properties:

- (1) g_t is conditionally unbiased: $\mathbb{E}[g_t | x_t, x_{t-1}, \dots, x_0] = \nabla f(x_t)$, and
- (2) \mathbf{g}_t has bounded expected norm: there is a C > 0 satisfying $\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{g}_t\|_2^2 \leq C$ for all t.

These properties respectively ensure that moving in the direction of the negative of the stochastic gradient tends to decrease the function, and that we can choose a stepsize small enough to prevent us from moving too far in the occasional bad direction.

Let's verify that a common stochastic gradient used in the case where f has the finite sum structure does indeed have these properties. Assume that f has the finite sum structure

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\boldsymbol{x})$$

for some convex functions f_i ; further assume that the gradients of these functions are bounded by B > 0 everywhere, so $\|\nabla f_i(\boldsymbol{x})\|_2^2 \leq B$ for all i and all points \boldsymbol{x} .

Consider the stochastic gradient g_t given by

$$g_t = \frac{n}{k} \sum_{j \in J_t} \nabla f_j(\boldsymbol{x}_t),$$

where J_t is a subset of [n] of size k that is sampled uniformly at random, i.e. J_t is a set of random indices that satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}[J_t = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}] = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k}}$$

for any set of k unique indices $\{j_1, \ldots, j_k\} \subseteq [n]$.

Verify that g_t is a conditionally unbiased estimate of the gradient:

$$\mathbb{E}[g_t \,|\, x_t, x_{t-1}, \dots, x_0] = \mathbb{E}[g_t \,|\, x_t] = \nabla f(x_t).$$

Use Jensen's inequality with $f(z) = z^2$ and the triangle inequality for the ℓ_2 norm to conclude the generally useful fact¹ that for any set of vectors z_1, \ldots, z_k ,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right\|_2^2 \le k \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|_2^2.$$

Use this fact to find the smallest C you can that bounds the expected norm of g_t .

¹This is like a version of the triangle inequality, but for the square of the norm. Note that the inequality is sharp, because the equality holds if all the summands are the same vector.